CE: Yeah, my name is Chris Elwell, and my first name is C-H-R-I-S, and my surname is E-L-W-E-double L.

1:

CE:

Can you tell us about how and why you came to be involved with Half Moon?

Well, I came here in 1997, so I suppose it would be interesting to get a little bit thoughts on the route that I took to that, because previously I'd been the head of education and community both for English National Ballet and the Royal Shakespeare Company; and I suppose the reason why this job became attractive to me was because it sort of provided a space – well, I believed – that would fuse together my passion for what would now be called applied theatre practice within an arts education context, but 19 years ago it was probably outreach in education; it's different sorts of words.

And I suppose I felt that there were very few spaces in the country, if not the only space in the country, I can't think of many at the time, that actually offered that real dual fusion of professional theatre work and participatory activity, and how I felt passionate about the two should be interlocking in some ways. Because just prior to coming I was a lecturer at the Central School of Speech and Drama and I used to run an undergraduate course in drama and applied theatre and MA courses there as well.

And in fact that's how I got to know the Half Moon a little bit in the last minute when they started looking for a new director, because there had been a student on placement here and I'd been in to visit them, and they had been directing what I didn't know but what became their professional show, called Different Lands Different Stories; and if I'm really honest, it was a bit eye opening because it wasn't quite working very well, it seemed to me that the company hadn't really thought through necessarily where it was at. So I just thought it was a really good opportunity; I saw it as if it wasn't a TIE company it was a TYP company, and there's a distinct difference between the two, with retrospect, and clearly now; maybe at the time I didn't quite know how to articulate it but I would know that now is that the old TIE companies - and none of them really exists any more they were dealing with didactic performance in theatre, very much like issue-based ideas, but the TYA companies, Theatre for Young Audience companies, like Half Moon, had a really strong tradition of creative stories. human stories, that okay, they were about issues, but they weren't just about issues.

And I think if you look around I felt that that sort of slightly more progressive, more modern take on work for young audiences is something I'd quite like to connect with. And I gave it six months; I said that in the interview, I remember that, and when I got home I told my partner, I said what I'd said, and she said, oh, my God, why did you say that; I said, because actually that's what I want to give it, because I knew that it was in

a lot of difficulty really, I suppose, and I felt that if I could do something within six months it would be worth moving forward.

And you must remember, 1997 was also the beginning of the Blair government and Labour coming into power, so in a strange way it was the right moment also to get a job like this because we'd had a Conservative, I guess right-wing politics and monetary frameworks for many, many years, and inevitably when you bring in a new what would be a more left-wing government – although you could argue that the government in '97 wasn't particularly left wing – but comparatively speaking there would be a real re-emphasis and refocus on a community, upon engagement, upon inclusion and so on, and I think for me I just saw that opportunity without realising it in some way. So I guess that's sort of where what brought me and why I came to be involved in the Half Moon; I guess I applied for the job and got it. But, yeah, that was the context.

I:

CE:

Okay. Thank you. So you became the director of the Half Moon in 1997; can you tell us about your priorities in these early days?

 Well, yeah, I mean I guess initially my priority was to try to make it a bit more solvent, because within days of arriving it became clear that sadly the company hadn't been looking after the finances, and I know that that isn't everything in life you do need a core sense of income coming into the company that allows the organisation to survive.

But more important than that it was the relationship with the major stakeholders like the Arts Council was – well, it wasn't called the Arts Council then, it was called Greater London Arts, I think, or London Arts Board, or something like that – we'd forgotten the relationship with them; and in fact they had lost their core resource in a way. So my priority was to try to rebuild that relationship.

[04:58]

But you could only do that if you actually looked around what you're doing, and whenever you go into a new job, everyone does this, they actually talk to the stakeholders, talk to the staff, talk to people coming in and out of the building in this case; and it became very clear that the company had, for all the wrong and right reasons, it had lost sight of its community. It wasn't maximising at all what their big resource was, which was the building, it was just literally a building, the staff were on the first floor, no one was in the entrance to welcome anyone; and so the nature of just that was to restructure and rethink about what the company could do.

It's really hard to say this, but on day three we reduced the staff from eight to three, because there was just no money to...and then plus me, so that's four of us; there was nine, and four. Which at the time seemed a bit shocking I guess, but actually you have to start somewhere, you have to build from what you've got, because if we hadn't have done that the

money would have run out, basically, and the company would have just gone under.

But it was more to do with actually the type of people and the ambition of the company and therefore my thoughts about what the company should deliver; because there was some youth theatre going on but the youth theatre was being done to, i.e. they were being taught skills and performing, but no one was asking those young people about what they wanted to do and where they were placed within that arena, if you like; the emphasis was on what the tutors wanted to do rather than what was appropriate for those young people to engage with and be challenged by and excited by.

The relationship with the schools had completely disappeared because what had happened is that they were still presenting plays that were very much of a time that had now finished. There's been a fantastic series of plays that were by Lin Coghlan in fact, brilliant plays, but they were continuing to do those type of plays by the writers in a period when that sort of topic, if you like, that sort of style was no longer relevant to the schools.

The same applied with the show I talked about before, the Different Lands Different Stories, was a sort of an attempt to do bilingualism in Sylheti and English, but again that work had been pioneering and exciting at the time, but by 1997 the schools necessarily didn't need that style of work; what they needed was child-centred pieces of work that really enhanced and developed the learning of those young people within the context of the school [inaudible 07:49] curriculum [inaudible 07:50] but also about their holistic experience, about who they were as young people within that context, if you like.

And I sort of started to articulate, without perhaps being as clear as I would be today, what I call the continuous loop whereby you think of a circle and the work you produce sits within that circle, that professional place, and to get to it you have to engage with audiences, those audiences need to inform the type of activity you're doing to allow the writers and the artists to challenge and change their practice, change the type of stories they create, which they then share back to those audiences who then inform that play again in a sort of an R&D scratchy way.

And then in turn when that play eventually comes out somewhere in the circle, the audiences are given back a piece of work, and then that then starts the continuous loop again, that actually those audiences then respond to that piece of work, and the artists, new artists, come and see what those young people, or women in the children's centres in the borough, or homeless people, whatever it might be, how they respond to their work, and then as a result new work comes out; so it's like a continuing loop. It's a sort of a dialogue, if you like, and I felt that was really, really important.

And I guess again that was the beginning of what we would call now providing the gateway, so that the Half Moon needed to be a gateway somewhere for where young people, communities, adults, whoever it might be, felt they had a place to come to, it was a gateway into the arts, a gateway into meeting other people, a gateway into being creative themselves. And then what we need to do is find the pathways to which to do that; and that would be through, yeah, your theatre, or schools work, or giving them a performance to engage with in some way.

And then, importantly, which is probably not for that time but this is what I was wanting to do in the long term was to make sure that our practice was documented, that it would then have an impact on the greater ecology of the sector around us.

[10:00]

So yeah, those were the priorities in the early days, a mishmash of artistic passion, the importance of the young person in our case right in the centre of that experience, and then looking for ways of trying to maximise the potential of the company in terms of earning money, be it through the building, through applying to resources, grants, touching sort of strands of income that was coming in to address certain things. It's very well because if you wanted to do a play about road safety or something there's no reason why you can't do that if that brings in some money to the company, as long as you have the integrity to make it a human play, a story that's going to touch in effect the audiences who'd see it as a whole, and it does mean to say that you're starting to tap into where the priorities of the community may lie, I would say. Yes, I would say that was the priorities in the very early days.

And there were a few of us, there was only so few of us, and actually I literally my priority was to probably survive the day, I mean I would be having to review and rehearse sort of youth theatre, or be part of the youth theatre, I used to be going into schools, meeting loads of stakeholders, literally bombing around the borough visiting people and saying, hello, we're here, we're here, we're here; because I think people had forgotten a little bit that what the Half Moon was, or could be, or potentially could be, that's very important; and it's just about building bridges really, so it's all about that; and then of finding the right product to put on the stage as well.

Yeah. As you have indicated, your role oversees the operation of the company, the participatory work in professional productions; can you begin by telling us about the professional plays, starting with the teenage/young adult work?

CE:

1:

Yeah. Well, that's a good place to start, because what I decided to do quite early on was to start to focus the professional work in two ways: one was absolutely for the families and what I call the under-sevens, underfives work, I'm sure which maybe we'll talk about later; and the other was

to look at the teenage or young adult work. That was really important because actually our greatest resource is the young people that come here as autonomous young people, as attenders; once you get to about the age of 11, 12, 13 you make that choice yourself, you're not brought by your parents, you're not brought your carers — you may be brought by your school — but what you need to do is make sure that that experience is a long-term experience, as a legacy to that school visit, that the young people feel that they want to come back for the reasons that the work is relevant, that the work is exciting and so on.

So when it came to the teenage work I thought it was really important to do two things: one was to place writers into the places where young people were, so by putting the writers in a school, by putting them in a youth club, by putting them in a community centre in the case of the [inaudible 12:54] they could then start to connect with the language of those young people; I don't just mean language as in the words they say, it's more the language of what they're thinking, that their literacy in terms of their thought processes and so on and what have you.

And so some of the early plays, a play called, Yeah, Whatever! – which is hard to say – that was a very simple piece by a lovely writer called Ashmeed Sohoye, because he's spent time working on projects that we called [inaudible 13:21] or scriptworks, basically they were writers in residence and performance projects. So the idea was that the writer – and indeed the directors and the creative team, the musicians and so on – spent time creating a story, creating scripts, but at the same time the young people themselves created and performed their own show.

So at the end of that process the young people had a lovely play to present to their families and friends in their school environment, and it was over eight weeks of after school or something like that, we also ended up with a commission; so Yeah, Whatever! was a really simple but very effective play about three young – well, young – 15 years olds, who are at school and meet up and chat about what it is to be a young person in Tower Hamlets in 1990...I think it was 1998, it could be 1999.

And that doesn't sound very radical now, but actually I don't think, up to that point people were writing plays that were reflecting and mirroring the worlds of those young people, in two ways: one was the authenticity of the stories that they were therefore telling us through those encounters and then how the writers translated that, and the musicians created soundtracks and stuff that created the world of it, yeah, there was an authenticity to that, and maybe the authenticity of the language, actually they were using words and phrases that you would use if you were 15 or 14 years old.

[14:51]

But also they were seeing on the stage people who looked like them, so you had an Asian girl, a black lad, a white boy, whatever it might be; so

you had the...you were mirroring the people that were actually watching the show. That's really empowering actually because that doesn't always happen.

And so they're messing around on a sofa in someone's house in their school uniforms, and talking about how they're feeling, and the rivalry between the two boys over the girl perhaps; but more importantly what they're thinking about their lives in the future, and actually it had enormous resonance upon it. And I remember the first Yeah Whatever, the first show of that one, that there was a concern that the kids wouldn't be that interested and what have you, and I knew they would be interested, I knew that; and actually they were, because actually they were completely silent, not silent because they were bored but they were silent because they were listening, and then at the right moments they would just scream and shout with laughter, or they would talk among themselves talking about what they were seeing on the stage as a whole.

And I mean I guess that, and Caravan, that followed, which was successful for a different reason, in the sense that it was a story of two girls who have to get to know each other because their parents are...they've come together, the original fact that the parents have split up and one's mum and then one's dad have come together as a relationship, and they have to - in a caravan - have to get to know each other; which is incredible, there's loads of tension in that, it's a really classic example of performance tension. But the reality of it was that they had to get to know each other, they had to understand each other; and although the play would [inaudible 16:40] was a little bit, now it may look a little oldfashioned, it was an opportunity for the two very different women, a young Bengali girl and a young black girl, to talk to each other about who they were, and to realise that they actually had so much in common. And so I think that in a way was the success of those early plays coming out of script writes, sort of script works, and [inaudible 17:01] and all the commissions of human stories; we followed it with a play called Cued Up by Paul Ashton, which actually looked at young people exploring what it might be to meet someone who's old and how you have to realise that the old people have something to say as well.

And then the next one was called Cutter, which was I guess was the new...in fact by this point I decided that I didn't...I was too busy in many ways, but also I felt that I want to...we brought by that time we'd got funding from the Arts Council, we became a revenue company by that point and they very quickly realised what we were doing was making sense; we had more income coming in and I was able to build the staff base up, and then I'd introduced an education officer, a producer – well, that's what they would be called now – and then some associate directors, and so by that time the associate directors, who were a little bit more hip and trendy and younger than me, I have to say to you, seemed a much more appropriate artist to be directing the teenage work.

And it allowed us therefore to be a little bit more ambitious, so in a play like Cutter, which is all about self harm – well, I say it's all about self harm, the framing is self harm – but it actually started to touch young people who realised that actually they were in an environment where those sort of topics could be discussed, I guess.

With Blowback it was about the environment and how we need [inaudible 18:30] take responsibility for the world in which they're part. And, as I say, that was when the associates kicked in, and I was really privileged to have people like Vishni, Liselle, Daryl Beeton, and so on, here who are really young, quite interesting directors to start directing those pieces. Angela Michaels followed, although not necessarily a young artist, an artist of diversity. Because actually what was really important by that point is that not only did I want the plays to reflect our community in a much more empowering way, but it was really important that we found pathways for artists and staff to do that route. And even now our sector, the TYP sector, is overwhelmingly under-representative of the world in which we sit.

And it was really excellent to see artists, disabled artists, black artists, what have you, to start touching the shows that we did; so if you look at something like Locked In, which I still think is probably a play that was way before its time, by Fin Kennedy, which used the hip-hop frames written in complete verse, about three characters exploring what it is to live in Tower Hamlets at the time; one young man who moves – an Asian man – who moves towards fundamentalism, another young man who's drawn into gun crime.

[20:00]

CE:

But the reason that play isn't just about that is because the young woman, the mixed-race Asian black young woman, she becomes the victim of that world and she's the one who is...in an attempt has tried to stop the Asian young man from perhaps doing things that perhaps aren't conducive towards a harmonious community. And the same with the borough guy, she gets caught up and she's the one who is shot and killed at the end: sorry I've spoilt the end of the story there.

I: That's okay.

But what was important about that is that we were placing the stories of the time absolutely on the stage in front of those young people.

And I think they were before their time in many ways, We Are Shadows, that followed, another Fin Kennedy piece, was equally, not only in art form style – the art form itself was quite groundbreaking in many ways – the idea of monologue leading together and portraying really hard hitting stories; so we have in that, We Are Shadows, there's a young woman who has to give up her child...well, she gives up the child because she is addicted to drugs and she gets drawn into the drug culture, and we see her heartbreak of when she gives up her child, and in fact gives her child

away, she leaves it at a petrol station. And another, and the scene that follows is when she is attacked by another character who's in a complete racist way talking about that she's scum and she's evil and awful, and depicting a young white man as a racist, because actually he didn't understand her story, for example. So that human story stuff is really, really important.

Weirdly, talking about adult work, a couple of years ago I came back to direct a play again by David Lane, because we did a play called Begin/End, which is about female sexuality, which was really shocking, which was a bit of a shock, that play for the communities; the communities found that really hard, if you think about it, the rise of...way that people think about same-sex relationships and so on, to place two young women on the stage who are explicitly talking about their love for each other – although one rejects it – was actually quite shocking and radical for many of the audiences that came to see it. But, as we know, within those audiences there will be young women, for example, who perhaps are not being able to express who they really are because for fear of what their communities will, or what their parents [inaudible 22:29] may say.

So I was talking about FREE...so yeah, so actually I really came back to direct a teenage show, and the reason I did that a couple of years ago, a David Lane play: (a) because it was a brilliantly written play in a poetic style using free running as the frame; but also I just felt that actually it was really important as the director of a company that I did the research back with the teenagers to actually really think about what it was to be a teenager, so that the commissioning in the future was up to date. Because I think you've got to be really careful that as a director of a company that you don't continue to do the things you've always done, you have to refresh what you do, you have to take chances, you have to challenge yourself.

And so when I went back to do FREE it was extremely liberating and exciting because it meant that I was absolutely hands on with teenagers. I mean I was a teacher in the '80s so I used to teach teenagers, and I have a teenager of my own, but it's just interesting when you're slightly older to actually engage with that; so that's why I went back to FREE.

 And so teenage work has become really important for the company, and continues to be so, and yeah, so that would be my sort of overview of why we just continue to develop and develop teenage work.

1:

You're best known...

F: Let's just pause because do you want to talk about Look to the Sky?

Because that's the only one you haven't [voices overlap 24:01]...

395 CE: Oh, did I miss it out?

397 F: So it's up to you.

398	CE.	No. I don't think I do gotually
399 400	CE:	No, I don't think I do actually.
401	F:	And you are looking at your notes
402		7 that you are looking at your notes
403	CE:	A little bit.
404	0	
405	F:	quite a bit.
406		
407	CE:	Am I?
408		
409	F:	So even if you have them a bit closer to you, because you look away and
410		you look down a lot, but you
411		
412	CE:	I'm looking down
413	_	
414	F:	it looks like you're
415	OF.	Deading my notes a hit
416	CE:	Reading my notes a bit.
417 418	F:	Yeah.
419	1.	rean.
420	CE:	Okay. Cool.
421	02.	Chay: Coon
422	F:	And you mightI just worry that you won't be happy with
423		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
424	CE:	Oh, I see what you mean.
425		
426	F:	It looks fine
427		
428	CE:	Yeah. Yeah
429	_	04.051
430	F:	[Voices overlap 24:25]
431	CE:	I think I'm just being a bit humble.
432 433	CE.	Tullink Till just being a bit humble.
434	F:	whatever you like
435	١.	wildlever you like
436	CE:	Yeah. No, I know what you mean.
437	0	ream rie, rianem innat yeu meann
438	F:	Yeah?
439		
440	CE:	Okay. Did I not talk enough about the different plays? Are there enough
441		
442	F:	Well, it'syou talked about loads of them, it's just the only one you
443		haven't mentioned is Look to the Sky, so it's just if you voices overlap
444		<mark>24:37]</mark>
445	CE.	I don't know if I fool I want to talk about that and
446 447	CE:	I don't know if I feel I want to talk about that one.
447		

F: 448 That's fine.

449

450 CE: Okay.

451

1: Next question? 452

453

F: Yeah. 454

455

456 CE: Yeah.

CE:

457

1: You're best known for your work for younger audiences and families; can 458 you tell us about the early work in this area? 459

460 461

462

463

464

465

Gosh. Yeah, Well, that's nice of you to say that I'm known for it. Yeah, I...yeah, I mean I guess for me I've learned over the years that artists tend to reflect a little bit the people that they spend most of their time with. So I think that the early-years work - or the young work - became important to me because actually by the change of the millennium I actually had a child myself; and so as a result I think tuned in very much to the world of a very young person, if you like.

466 467 468

469

470 471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

[25:25]

But also I find it really interesting because in a way, thinking about work for young people, little ones, under fives, under sevens, it's that actually often you don't have to worry so much about words; what you are really wanting to talk about – or you, should I say – is the visual, the music, the aural, the oral, to touch play, in a way; and for me play is really important when making work and has always been that, it doesn't matter whether you're working with teenagers or adults, whatever it could be; if you can touch the play in someone therefore the playfulness will allow there to be something meaningful and to be communicated to audiences as a whole.

479 480 481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

So I suppose, yeah, my early work. Yeah, so the early work, hmm... Well, there's two reasons why family work is much more commercial than teenage work; audiences are much easier to connect with, but what I didn't want to do was I did not want to go down the route of fairytale adaptation or book titles and so on, which are great but they're prolific now and I wanted to make sure that we had a USP, even in those early days, that wasn't in those routes, if you look to our competitors they really go down that route a lot, and they've been very successful and do some beautiful work; but if the majority of people are putting out stories about fairytales and then talking about book adaptations, therefore we should as a public funded company perhaps be doing something different, we owe it to our stakeholders at the end of the day our funding comes from the government through taxes and therefore we owe it to challenge and experiment.

494 495 496

497

And I think young people's work, the early-years work, is actually a good place to do that. It's also the place where you connect with people for the

very first time, if you can really get the young person very excited, a little one, about the experience of going to see a performance, you can get them for the rest of their lives. And if the child is excited about a performance in the early part of their lives, the parent or the carer will be, and therefore they as a family, or they as – and in the case of a teacher as well – they will see it as part of an entitlement, a part of an issue, to do [inaudible 27:32]. So I guess the early ones, I suppose the very first one we did was a play called Cloudwatching.

Cloudwatching was absolutely and deliberately a play that did three things: one was to use what was at the time innovative technology, and so we had a video artist. Now video artists in 1998 were...there was cassette tapes and television screens, I mean it probably was a little bit more sophisticated than that, but for touring that's probably where we were at at that time, so it was very, very sophisticated. I wanted to use a groundbreaking art-form artist to do that; I wanted to depict on the stage, again, a world of different types of people, so I had a white guy, a black

woman, and an Asian actress, and together they told a story.

And we used meteorology as the frame for it, going on a beach...I worked with a scientist as well, it's important to bring specialists, so there was a meteorologist, scientist called Tim Reynolds to that experience, and we wrote the play together. And in simple terms it's all about three people on a beach playing, looking at clouds in the sky and talking about them. It sounds a bit boring but actually it was quite playful and fun. And it showed actually that that sort of product is very popular with my venues, so people started to say that sounds like an interesting play for the story, I'll book it in my venue; so I started to build relationships with venues as well, so there's two things happening.

I suppose...I'm trying to think really what... The next one was called Eclipse: A Tale for Winter, and we continued that design passion to involve a diversity of cast on the stage. No one was in...people were doing it, but people weren't really doing it; family shows are very much sort of the same type of thing, so we had...in fact it was Daryl Beaton who became an associate director many years later; disabled man, a fabulous black actress called Nicole Davis, and an Asian actress, I can't remember her name now. And Eclipse: A Tale for Winter, of course it was topical because it was the time of the eclipse happening, but what we decided to do was work with an innovative music maker to create a soundtrack [inaudible 29:47] that story that's [inaudible 29:50] performance across the world.

So we then visited four locations where the eclipse was taking place: so India and Turkey, of course the UK as well.

[30:02]

So the idea of that was to bring an intercultural experience to the stage with a cross-cultural, cross-integrated cast, using music which actually

drew on the styles and music of the worlds in which we visited. I have to say also it was the beginning of a whole series of plays that were all about loss and death and loneliness, which I think says a lot about me probably, for the little ones, whereby they go on a journey on the big sort of a Saturn thing, a sort of journey thing, journeying tool of the stage; and what they do is they go in search of a lost mother in fact in that case.

And I guess that success of Eclipse was that actually we started to realise that plays with stories can work in a really positive way in that way for audiences as a whole.

But I think there's something about beaches really, and even in Eclipse they end up in India as the sun goes down, and they're on the beach, because of course the last place is the horizon the sun goes down, well, the eclipse goes down, and they're on the beach and they all play on the beach, and then the show ends.

The next play, Tuna Girl, was also set on a beach, again we had a really wonderful actor, who's now the associate director Graeae, called Amit Sharma, in this play. And Nicole Davis again, whose first job it was to come and work at the Half Moon, and now in her second...in fact it's her third show, whereby she, they, are visiting a beach, and on that beach the young girl loses her toy, her doll, and she then has a relationship with that loss of that...[inaudible 31:53] meets mythical creatures and various people, but also through that encounter she realises that although she has no mother she has a father. And that story is about the rebonding of a young father with his daughter. Now whether the mother has decided or whether the mother has decided not to be with them any more, or whether it's when she visits him on a day of...you know, it's the day he gets access to his child, I don't know.

But also you can see what's happening here is the stories are starting to actually be quite realistic, because actually it was about a single parent and so on. And then I guess with the one, the play which I'm...I just love the most in many ways from the early years, is Baa Moo Yellow Dog, and only because it was an extraordinarily interesting title, about sheep that go oink, and pigs that go woof, and what have you. But Baa Moo Yellow Dog was about a little boy who lives in a tower block. And the reason I wrote that story was because by that time we'd been working a lot in children centres and nurseries and preschool, that's why I'm saying about this loop, even I was doing it; and one day I was in a playground in a school just round the corner, and we were playing in the sand with the kids or whatever we were doing, I don't know, how you do; and I sort of stood and I was looking around and I looked up, and I just saw all these tower blocks all around me, and I realised that these kids who had a little park and a bit of sand pit, their real lives were in the tower blocks, and therefore him coming out of a tower block and playing in the park, and his mum looking on was absolutely mirroring the world of the people who lived in this borough around me.

And I think it was a truthful story in that way, I mean it wasn't because of course pigs don't go oink or whatever, pigs do go oink, I mean, and pigs don't go baa, of course they don't; and we met some magical creatures and they were all lovely puppets, and all that beautiful colour and what have you; and that was the beginning of my relationship with the designer Alison Cartledge, and the musical director Rob Lee, Robert Lee. I found some artists that really connected with that way of thinking playfully, colourfully, abstractly, to create stories [inaudible 34:21]. So yeah, I think that...yeah, I loved Baa Moo Yellow Dog, because not only did it actually end up being adapted for telly, though you wouldn't even recognise it because that's what they do in telly, they completely destroy your play, I mean you just give them over and then you end up saying, what is that. I felt people felt it was an important story because it had that urbanisation. that sense of that...of being here. I think it was the first play that we really made a statement to say, this is Half Moon, this is where we live, and all the people around us are creative individuals if you give them a chance to shine and be; and that's the ethos that I've always wanted to have for the company as a whole. So yeah, that was the early family and young audience work.

616617618

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605 606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

[35:11]

1:

619 620

621 622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

In 2005 you started what became a significant series of work for three plus audiences that saw the integration of British Sign Language in English; can you tell us why and about the work?

CE:

Yeah. Well, there's two reasons: one is the company did have a history of working bilingually in Sylheti and English, and in fact a little bit of signing was put into those shows in the '90s - well, in fact the late '80s into the '90s - I guess we were feeling confident about the way in which we wanted to portray plays to our audiences. And it became clear that actually although we in many ways hopefully were getting it right and talk about the culture diversity, about the socioeconomic diversity, and really exploring and being excited by the world around us as a theatre, and being really influenced by those audiences coming through the door and working in with schools, and be they teenagers or whatever they might be. I just felt that although we had disabled artists working for us and had done guite successfully, and we'd had placement schemes and we'd had special bursaries to bring disabled artists in, and we'd been doing conferences and events and so on, on that area; we weren't necessarily explicitly championing the language of, in this case Deaf...or Deaf people, and that was British sign language.

639 640 641

642

643 644

645

646

647

I'd seen a lot, and in fact Locked In, in fact Jacqui Beckford did several signed performances of Locked In, our teenage show...performances of Locked In in various venues. And she made me realise that of course you don't necessarily need to have the sign language interpreter on site waving their arms around, you can place that aesthetic, which is what it is, within the mix of the play itself. So in Locked In, going back to that, and a couple of other shows she did for us, she sort of stood in there inside the

show signing; she wasn't a character per se, but she was there doing whatever she was doing, you felt she was part of the action though the actors didn't necessarily recognise, didn't acknowledge her in that traditional sense.

So I just thought, well, surely – and you think about the work of Graeae, they were [inaudible 37:28] to do this in a very positive way – surely we can therefore do better than that, because, you know, we had signers come in and do signed shows, sure. So we actually then decided to place the character in an integrated way into...well, the British signer in an integrated way into the plays; and the only way to do that was to give them a character, write them a character.

So in the plays that we produced, starting with Grubs, Slugs and Boogie Bugs – another catchy title – brilliant design again by Alison, and with music sort of glam rock style music, which was completely not what people would have expected, again. With Baa Moo it was again with Rob Lee he, I said to him, can you write me, well, basically a gothic soundtrack for...a baroque soundtrack; and he did, a most brilliantly amusing, music you'd never expect in the young people's play. The same happened with Grubs and Slugs, that we therefore placed, with the glam rock style, Slade – I hate to say the sort of Gary Glitter sort of style, which now it seems completely inappropriate, but there we are, if you remember that was before all that stuff going on – sort of that style into the show as a whole.

And we created a character who, in the time tried and tested way went on a journey, went discovering the animals, journeyed, had sadness, whatever it might be; but who were themselves Deaf, they were Deaf performers. And they therefore spoke in their language, they didn't speak English, they just spoke British sign language. And so what we needed to do in those plays is to find a way that the language could be mutually understood by audiences, not only in the sense that in any non-language play, or a dance piece, the audience understands what's going on because of the visual aesthetic of it; so in a way you could create a play because of...without words, but if you put a language, two languages in it, you need to find a way through that.

So first of all you need to find a way that the BSL in itself is expressive and exciting, and if you look at BSL it is ultimately the sun rises, you're sad; the idea is that the language itself is incredibly visual, even if you don't understand, you're not a BSL user.

[40:03]

So that was one thing to really unpack, to make it a leading aesthetic driver of the piece. But also it's to recognise that you don't necessarily need to translate everything that everyone says to each other at the same time. So if I say to you, do you want to go to the park, you don't necessarily need to sign back, do I want to go to the park, yes, I'd like to go to the park; and then I would then speak back in English, oh, so you

want to go to the park. You don't have to sort of, like, do that, because that's not how language works; what you need to do is make sure there's enough hooks in each side of the language so that both sides can understand what is going on; which is actually quite complicated. It slows down the action slightly, but also makes the audience work quite hard because they have to invest in both characters in a different way, they have to follow the narrative from both perspectives, both the visual from the BSL, if you're an English speaker, and the language if you're an English speaker, or the visual of the English speaker, the way they look, they shrug, they smile, they look sad, whatever it might be, but also the narrative of language as a whole.

So we then did, I think our next one was, yeah, a play called My Friend Snow, which had a mediaeval soundtrack to it, which everyone absolutely adored. And My Friend Snow was about a young boy who meets someone who is a BSL user ultimately, and how together they go on a journey, and go on a journey through different mediaeval landscapes aided by, assisted by the parent, the court jester who keeps an eye on what they're doing. And the two of them come together and they construct an artefact, a toy, which they then share at the end when they play. And if you like, like anyone when they learn a language, those two characters coming together, if I was a French speaker and an English speaker, if you threw a French child of five who was a French speaker, a four year old, with an English speaker, and threw them in the playground, they would learn to communicate, not only would they learn to communicate through gesture and look and how they feel and the way they sit together and what have you, they'd also start picking up words from each other; and that's what happened in the story as a whole. So that's what happened in My Friend Snow.

So My Friend Snow, Snow was the BSL user, and he became the friend. And the dad, to represent his community, looks on and realises that actually this young boy has a role to play within his son's life, and he is enriched by it. So at the end of the story, when naturally the two kids move away from each other, because that's what happens in life, kids don't always...aren't friends together forever, both of them are enriched by encountering both sides of their lives, if you like; and I think that was sort of like the idea of My Friend...My Friend Snow, Snow made a big impact upon him, he was his friend and he always remembers him as a whole.

There's a really amazing scene at the end when we have all the...a beautiful piece of music, and when they go for a walk through all the fireflies together, and they play and then a dragon that they've made friends with as well – of course you have a dragon in the show for these little ones – comes and sits with them, and the two of them together share a language, a visual language actually, one is a non-verbal language by the English speaker, and one is the sign language by the sign user; they share the story of how they've got to that point; and then of course the sun

comes up and then they disappear. So it's a sort of symbolic little play really, in many ways, I guess.

And, yeah, I guess by the next one, Igloo Hullabaloo, we really had got it, I felt, we'd just started to get it right, and what we then did was translate, we for the first time wrote or transcribed what the BSL was, so we had a script that was both in English and in BSL. And although the story was really, really simple, for me the impact of that show was the fact that for the first time I believe ever that a script for young people was published – and you can see on our website it's the one even there today – that was truly in two languages, exploring and unpacking what actually happens in the BSL sign, although I could do BSL very badly, I mean I was quite reasonable at one point but I'm terrible now, I'm not a BSL user. And it was really empowering for our artists who feel that their language has been given equal status on the page to the English words, I suppose.

[45:05]

Igloo Hullabaloo is actually quite funny; I'll tell you a little story. We were working with the most amazing another wonderful artist called Anna Nabirye, and what we did with that show was ensure that they journeyed, but their journey wasn't just about...it moved away from discovering language together, it was all about discovering the culture that came with the world to which they were part – and that's a really sweet show actually, I like that show – I can't think of anything else to say about...[inaudible 45:46] should I say anything else?

F: Do you want to talk about Icicle Bicycle? Ah, no, you've just said that.

CE:

Oh, Icicle Bicycle, yes, I forgot. Yeah. So the final piece that we formally did within... So Icicle Bicycle was the last piece of the canon of work that we used to explore BSL work. We changed designers, Ruth Finn this time round, and a new composer called Bruce Nockles. What we really tried to do there was actually really let the BSL lead, and we worked with Paula Garfield from the Deafinitely Theatre to be the consultant or the assistant on that piece. And it really was led from the BSL point of view. And I think in many ways the play, which was about loss again, my plays are about loss in some ways, tried to do too much, it tried to be about the world of circus, and there was an amazing circus soundtrack, without actually being in a circus; and I think in a way by not placing the world of circus in the arena with the best will in the world the most brilliant and integrated BSL and English text wasn't going to work, because actually it relied too much on the visual aesthetic and the language. And it made me realise that actually with young people's work you do need to ensure that there is a recognizable world in which you place the story as a whole. And it was a complicated story, it was all about really how a little character goes to the circus and meets a clown and meets a trapeze artist and so on, and each of them fails in their success of entertaining the crowd, and it becomes a metaphor for death actually, because every time that the character tries to...feels they've found their mother, in fact, they realise that actually

they've failed by falling off the trapeze or what have you. So I think it was quite a complicated story.

798 799 800

801 802

805

806

807

808

809

797

In 2004 you piloted an art form development initiative called The Exchange for Change, this resulted in a Paul Hamlyn funded programme of work; can you tell us about this?

803 804 CE:

1:

Well, yes. So Exchange for Change is, as you say, an art form development programme, and the aim of it was to look differently at the way in which we created work, because I think I've described previously that we had an approach whereby we place writers or artists within school context, within a community centre, wherever it might be. But we just felt through Exchange for Change the idea was to give artists and companies somewhere just to experiment and do new things.

810811812

813

814

815

So what we chose to do also was then to really touch different types of artist who did different art forms, so if you were a spoken word poet or you were a Kathak dancer, or whether you were a MC, or contemporary dancer, or whatever it might be, we actually found a space for those artists to come together in many ways.

816817818

819 820

821

And also without the pressure of an outcome, so the idea was that you could work together and share what you've got after a number of days without the worry of it having to become a full production or a full commission. Of course the aim was that those pieces did develop into something tangible that went on a tour, for example.

822823824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833 834

835

836

837

838

839

In the early days we experimented that with companies that were perhaps able to sort of explore that methodology guite well, but then by 2009 when the Hamlyn money kicked in we [inaudible 50:00] decided to look at disabled artists, black and minority ethnic artists, again because what we were finding is that not only was it that the art forms were not mediating and coming into the genre of young people's work, but also again the diversity of it, and the aesthetic that they bring; and because diversity brings resilience to the art that one makes, the product one makes, not because it brings particularly a black experience, although that is absolutely key, it just means that different voices and different people come together, and that can be dangerous and interesting and challenging and exciting, and...well, you know, it really makes people think differently, because if you're not careful you would work with the same people all the time, the same type of people all the time, you tend to end up creating the same type of work all the time, which is fine, but it isn't really in the long term because it's not contemporising itself, it's not thinking about where we are now, now at this point, so to speak.

840841842

[50:59]

843 844

845

846

So we did, over the three years we did early years [inaudible 51:03] 2009, '10, and '11, which were the big years. In the first year, 2009 we looked at early years, maybe because actually that was an area that I already felt

quite rooted in. That I meant to say that we were very clear about models that existed, so therefore when you brought artists in you could then actually feel safe at being difficult and dangerous and challenging, because if we had never done early years before we would have been still exploring what early years is, rather than saying we know what early years is, how can we then throw that up in the air in order to change the nature of the work that came out of there.

So the collaboration, as I say, involved different types of artists. So for the early-years year we worked with...one of the pieces I worked on which became Rip Fold Scrunch, a play that then went on tour for many, many gigs actually, well over a hundred gigs. We had a theatre artist, actually called a physical theatre artist, called Maria Thomas. We had a jazz cellist called Ayanna Witter-Johnson. And then we had a Kathak dancer. And what we did, we then came into the space and we started playing with paper; which doesn't sound that radical now, but it seemed at the time something that people hadn't really thought about, going back to play, back to the medium of paper as a medium to do that.

And we created the characters of Rip, Fold and Scrunch, and each of those characters brought their art form to it. So although there were no words, apart from rip, fold and scrunch, it was their art form that spoke. So the Kathak dancer did the most amazing Kathak movement and physicality in a narrative; and the jazz cellist created an amazing score that was telling the story of these three characters coming together. And it was very simple to do, but also very challenging to do because although the theatre artists had obviously worked with theatre before and young people before, they other two artists had never been anywhere near theatre let alone the audience that they were going to work with.

So one of the key aspects of Exchange for Change, indeed of all the work I've done over the years, is that you place the young people at the heart of that art form. And so we paired up with a local nursery on the Isle of Dogs, and we literally went in and played with them, played with paper, explored work with them and so on, and that really informed the narrative that came out, and then they all came to see the show in the scratch performance as a whole.

Now that was a successful collaboration because actually there was an outcome to it, so Rip Fold Scrunch was the part of the new wave of early years work that we then took on after the BSL work sort of came to the end of its potency, if you like. And it was simple, Rip Fold Scrunch was simple, it was like a white canvas of paper, which was on the floor, and all the actors – not actors – all the characters, all the performers, started off in black or white with paper, they played with the paper, they ripped the paper, they scrunched the paper, they made objects of paper, they told the narrative through that; and as they therefore become contented and happy and safe together, then their play has changed how they are as people, if you like, as little characters they've been playing. And one of them makes a rip in the floor by mistake, and it's a shock, everyone goes,

oh, my God, they've ripped the floor, what shall I do, what shall I do; and then the children are so excited by that, watching, and the adult performers are like trying to think of what should we do now; well, what was the obvious thing to do, it's just you just think, well, never mind, let's just rip it all up; and they literally then ripped the floor, and then what happened underneath we had a beautiful colour paper, the floor cloth beneath.

[55:09]

And not only did ripping the white paper away reveal the colourful floor, which I guess is a metaphor really for rebirth and regeneration and excitement and colour is brought into the world, but also all the objects they'd played with were ripped and therefore they became colourful, and then we had the colour fall at the end.

And then, which is something that came out of Exchange for Change, was how do you engage with your audience above and beyond the consultation; we then break the fourth wall and then in come the audience and they play and they rip and they scrunch and what have you.

And in that year was a successful year, which I do think was because we knew what early-years work was, we then created another piece which eventually became called Plum and Pickle, and that was a hip-hop artist and a dancer, and a most amazing music maker called James Grant who created a most incredible...he's more of a producer sort of DJ-type MC I guess; and the three of them, artists, collaborated and created the play, a piece called Plum and Pickle, and that it was all about shapes, and they played with shapes; so the same concept happened, and at the end the children came on and played with shapes.

And it was also one of the things that Exchange for Change was, was there was an adult writer, I say and adult writer, all writers are adults we work with, but a writer who'd never worked for young peoples before, but actually were quite successful in their own right. So for that year it was Tanika Gupta, who is known for her very hard hitting in your face rather shocking pieces of work; and she wrote us a play which, through that process, which going into the nurseries playing with ideas. And that became Moon and Genie, and that the concept of that play was food. And so the idea is that the story conjured was that of a gathering together to make food for a grandma who's not so well, by the central character. And again by breaking the fourth wall in come the children and then we all sit around together in a community eating fruit at the end. So Exchange for Change, the early years, was extremely successful.

The following year we did teenagers, again because we were really safe with that, we felt very safe with teenagers, and we really pushed the idea of that, so we worked with spoken-word artists, and architectural designers, and video makers, and so on. And we created a couple of plays, and one piece was called Glass Knickers, which was all about how

two best friends fall out when the brother...that one of the best friends realises that his mate is trying to take the virginity away from his sister, that tension that created on that, a very hard hitting poetry piece.

We felt because we were breaking the boundaries of theatre making, that a play like Glass Knickers was able to be quite controversial actually for an adult audience, for the young audience it's actually hit exactly where they were at, where young people were experimenting and exploring their sexual awakening I guess, and when you chose to have sex or not, and the impact that had upon you and so on; that was quite an interesting piece.

Look to the Sky, by Courttia Newland, came out of that year, that became a play that went on to be one of our teenage shows a couple of years later; extraordinary piece about the sort of dystopia of how young people lose their identity and their value because society tells them that they are not worth anything. And it's looking at that way in which young people are completely pushed aside, not considered to be important and so on. And that was the play that he wrote, a very...he's a novelist actually, Courttia is a really amazing novelist, and he wrote a super play, that was.

And the one that I particular remember from that year was The Closer I Get The More Distant I Am, which was a collaboration, again with a Kathak dancer, a male, a contemporary Kathak dancer, an older dancer. Older, I say, he was probably in his 30s, which is quite old, he was coming to the end of his dancing career. And amazing...called Vipul Bhatti, and an amazing music artist called Nick Tyson, and an amazing spoken-word artist called Concise One.

What was interesting about that piece is that we worked with that, created that piece in collaboration with Limehouse Youth Club, which it actually it runs all the time, it actually is a PRU really, a Pupil Referral Unit. And so we took the work we were creating into the PRU.

[1:00:14]

And the first session that we had we had young women basically not wanting to come off their phones, looking endlessly at their phones; and a bunch of young men who, they actually just that what the hell are they...idiots doing; they didn't really...they didn't know what we were there for; maybe they were, but they just thought, what is this arty-farty rubbish, really.

And we performed an extract of The Closer I Get the Distant I Am, which is basically a story of how, again how modern technology desensitises the need for there to be real interaction and communication. And so we took the piece in and there was all this dancing around and music being played live, and the poetry artist talking about how she was feeling about how she was unable to make relationships with people because people didn't want to speak to her, so therefore she was falling into the trap; and in fact

she self harmed in fact, that's part of her release for that. But by going into that centre the young women started not looking at their phones, and the young men started listening and watching, because it completely...it sort of touched them, because no one had really touched that topic before [inaudible 1:01:38] because they suddenly realised that what we were presenting to them was about them; and so it made us realise, it upset us all actually because it made us realised that we devalue young people just because they are caught up in the technology of the world of which they're part; that they again don't have a voice or a role. But the point is that you have to give young people permission to have a role in society.

And as a result we created that play, which was an amazing piece of work. And we never actually...we decided in the end not to take it any further because it was just too raw and too short really, it was only 20 minutes; and I think it did its purpose which was to remind us all that actually theatre needs to really touch the individual, and we as artists must always consult and engage with the people that we are wanting to talk to, because if you don't it becomes at best boring, and I guess at worst not [inaudible 1:02:55] at best boring, at least worst patronising, most worst depicting and telling an untruth about people's lives; and I think that show did explain that to us a little bit, if you like.

So yeah. And then on a lighter note, what was so good about Exchange for Change, and it continues to this day, is that those artists that we work with then come back and work with us on different projects. So subsequent to that we created a piece called When Snow Falls [NB: production referred to is actually called When Spring Comes]. And When Snow Falls [NB: When Spring Comes] is a really interesting piece between a jazz trumpeter and a dancer and an animator called Amberin Huq, she created a [sic] animation that set the piece, the performance, completely, as it was like a rolling animation of the seasons changing from summer through to autumn through to winter, to when the spring comes.

And it's the story of a little boy who experiences those seasons and meets different animals, and he's expressed through contemporary and in South East Asian dance through the whole piece. Now all those three artists never worked together on Exchange for Change but we brought them together because they're on different projects and we just felt that synergy between them would really work.

And I do believe again When Spring Comes, which we did a short tour, not a massive tour, was a little bit ahead of its time weirdly, because actually it did show the impact of simple animated narrative and importance to...and the importance of the live dancer, and a simple story of the relationship between the world of the young person's need to connect with nature.

[1:04:50]

And I guess, in a way, that show is also...why it's important is it never at one point did it talk about the environment, but actually it is, it's about

young person's relationship to the environment, and if they don't look after it it won't be here in generations to come; and even if you're little you really need to engage with that, and that was [inaudible 1:05:09]. And of course at the end then the audience again in true style they came onto the stage and then we all danced together and we played with the butterfly and...or who were on the animation, and so on. So again...and I think in a way both those shows – and I think they must touch me because I'm a bit taken aback by talking about them – I think it's the importance that how you, when you create work it's really important to touch the human in you, because if you don't the audience will never remember what you're trying to tell them.

And you want them to experience a beautiful experience, and whatever, but you also want them to go away and say, that means something to me; so even if you're five years old you will recognise the importance of the cycle of nature. And what happens — which happens in When Spring Comes — when nature is broken, and the impact that has on the individual in the case of the little boy who was upset or sad by that experience, and how he needed spring to come, the regeneration, the renewal of life to come to allow him to continue in his life as a whole really. So yeah, it's Exchange for Change.

1:

CE:

Your job before Half Moon was as a lecturer at the Central School of Speech and Drama; does this explain the long-term relationships with HE centres?

Absolutely. HE centres are really important, particularly drama schools, because I found when I was working in them that actually the training that they were given was mainly to train them to go into the mainstream, for whatever we say the Half Moon is a representation of a minority art form young people said to us that's not necessarily given the status that it should, although it's doing and has done for many, many years, not just here, many companies have done some really, really important things.

So what we've done over the years, and our Rose Bruford connection in particular, is connect with students at the point of their training; when you give them an experience that changes their perception of theatre can be and who the audience can be. And if you look at the two or three shows that I've done with Bruford and other places, not only does it allow me to do a cast of, like, 14 all singing and dancing – which is incredible because now I mainly do one-handers, two-handers, three-handers if I'm lucky, that's the nature of small-scale touring – it gives you that breadth of opportunity to have a large cast doing some really beautiful work; but it also makes the young audiences and the young performers realise that actually improvisation into script, detailed rehearsal off script, in script, in rehearsals [inaudible 1:07:46] the importance of close proximity of audience, the importance of young people, little people, big people, whatever they might be, in that equation is really important, and actually it's one of the hardest type of work you can do. And to give you a passion

about that it's also about understanding what audience is and who they are and the importance of engaging with audience.

And seeing the whites of the eyes, and seeing...because often the young audience are horrible, if they don't like it they'll just tell you, by being noisy if they're little, by screaming, by running on the stage maybe, if it's for the wrong reasons; but at the same time to hold an audience in stillness. So that's why I do it, because I don't think... That's untrue, I think training in higher education isn't necessarily conducive towards upskilling and creating a pool of young artists of the future who will take theatre aimed at young audiences seriously.

It seems you regard participation as being just as important as the professional plays in programming; why is this?

Well, I think, as in the conversation I've had so far, that participation is key to the engagement. I mean participation isn't just about doing a youth theatre, although that's key, it's not just about working in schools; participation is about the active engagement with your audience. So that when an audience is sitting in their seat watching a play they need to participate in an active way; it doesn't mean to say they're wanting to talk or get up and perform, although often we find with the young ones that they do do that and we've encouraged that over the years so they come in to...they break that fourth wall, but it's actually allowing people to feel as if they can participate, they're thinking about what's going on, they're cognitively engaging, if you like, with that as a whole. So that's really, really important.

Yeah, it's back to...I discussed earlier the idea of the continuous loop, the idea that you place audience participant, it's part of that loop of creative activity, that actually participation, be you five, be you 25, is as important as watching trained actors – for lack of a better word – performing in a way; and those actors and those artists have got as much to learn from that encounter as the young people or the audience have to learn from encountering a [inaudible 1:10:10] performance that may change them or make them think differently about the world wherever it might be in that continuous loop idea.

[1:10:17]

1:

CE:

I mean audiences are really important, I think when the company, when I took over the company in the '90s, and even into the early '80s, it was only when we started working en masse in the children's centres, in the schools, performing literally a hundred yards down the road, which seems insane, why didn't they come to the theatre, well, it was because actually where they were is where the performance to take place; it's only then did you realise that the community is really, really important in that participatory dimension of the work that we do as a company as a whole. Because actually those young people are going to become your artists of the future, and if you don't instil in them a sense of value and that the

work that they're doing has a value, and the work of a company like ours has a value, they're never going to come back and feed and experiment and become the role models and artists of the future as a way.

We did a project, which was quite early in my time, called Oceans of Stories, which was very interesting because I knew that the way to get to our young audiences was through the women, and by going to work with the women's groups in this borough we were able to mine their stories, hear about their experiences of being women in our community; and there's a very high percentage of Bengali Sylheti families here, and latterly Somali families and so on; those women sat around talking, sewing, and telling their childhood, telling their stories, but it's only through that encounter were we able to understand what it is to participate in the world of those people. And as a result of that we were then able, the artists were then able to frame and shape a piece of performance as Oceans of Stories, which we then showed back to them; and the women were deeply moved by it because we put on the stage a version of their stories, their aspirations, their hopes for their children, the world of which they are a part of now, and the differences between maybe if they were first generation, or even the second generation, between their childhood and what their aspirations were for their childhoods in the future; which actually, weirdly, ten, 15, almost 15 years on, I can see where those women have influenced their children now, because those children are now participating more actively in their world, the world of which they're part here in Tower Hamlets, and we see them in our youth theatre, and we see them in the young parents coming back with their little children. So that's really, really, really important.

Can you tell us about some of the youth theatre and projects for schools... I'll just start that question again.

CE: Yeah.

1146

1147

11481149

1150

1151

1152

11531154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166 1167

1168

1169 1170

1171

11721173

1174

1175 1176

1177 1178

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

11871188

1189

1190

1191 1192

1193

1194

1195

1:

CE:

1179 I: Can you tell us about some of the youth theatre and projects for schools that you feel were and continue to be important?

Well, as I say, our work, our participatory work is key. I mean I don't think this company would be what it is today without it, and I'm really lucky to have worked over the years with a whole swathe of colleagues who've led on that area. I mean I come from that background as an ex-teacher, I worked in youth and in community engagement and so on, of course I would place that at the heart of what the company does, it's key; but I've been very lucky to work with some amazing people.

Yeah, I mean at the end of the day, the most important thing is the youth theatre coming in and performing and engaging, and communicating and being together. And I'm not being horrible by saying this, I don't mean it in that way at all, but many of our young people aren't particularly talented, and that's completely fine, because it isn't about being the greatest actor and being the best dancer and singer, it's about fulfilling your potential,

being able to speak, being able to communicate, to be able to have opinion, to be able to hold the room, tell stories with other people. And I'm really lucky, and I always make a point of this, that I go to youth sharing after youth sharing after youth sharing, not because I have to — but I guess I do have to as a director of the company — to respect my colleagues work, to show them that actually what they're doing, which I'm not always part of, is key to the company and it's our life blood; but it's also respecting the fact that our young people are the artists of the future, and without that there will be no creativeness in this country and there will not be creative people from very diverse [inaudible 1:14:30] backgrounds participating in that way. I mean who knows where they'll end up, but the reality is that they are important.

So yes, it's really, really, really important, and youth theatre is the mainstay of our company really; and we have seven now, and we've had many over the years, we have specialist groups for young people with disabilities...

[1:14:52]

 We have an integrated system, which is weird because obviously all our groups are integrated, that means to say that anyone with any need can come to perform and be part of the group, they can have an access worker or a care worker or whatever it might be they need. And that's amazing because you see the diversity of people on the stages and over the years, and I know people who've come in and talked on this project about their experiences, like the way in which being part of a group has meant that they can fill their potential and they don't feel they're barriered [sic] from attending just because they're a wheelchair user, because they're Deaf, because they have autism, because of whatever it might be.

But also we have our specialist groups. And in a way they're exclusive, which is a little bit anti-inclusive, isn't it, but those young people are best served within those environments where they have the facilitated activity which is led from them, which allows them to perform and create on their own level; because if you have profound and multi-disabilities it's very hard to function within a mainstream group, not that you can't, and many of our young people do, but within an exclusive group where you have one-to-one care and support, those access workers can mediate, and the teams can mediate an experience which allows them to actually perform in a way, and over the years we've had some amazing performances from our...a disability group, through some university programmes over the years through to the Human Garden and so on, some really pioneering disability work which shows that it doesn't matter how unable you are and how – by society's terms anyway – you can perform, you can achieve, you can have a voice and you can communicate to an audience. And those performances are often the most profound things that I can ever experience, in a way.

And then we have our schools works, projects like Transitions, which I also had the pleasure of working on in the early days, where it was about looking at the transfer from Year 6 to Year 7. And again we didn't just go in with a play and say, hi, guys, here's a play about moving from Year 6 to Year 7 – that really important year – we worked with initially with Bethnal Green, what is now Bethnal Green Academy, which is an interesting place, it was called Bethnal Green Technology College. We worked with young people in that school who were in Year 7 talking about what it was like to move from Year 6 to Year 7, and we took their stories, we mediated their stories, translated their stories, and then presented it back to them. And then that project has continued in that way for a long time, it's actually unpacking the fears, trying to make that transition into Year 7 a positive one.

And then we have Lyrical Laps, which is about Key Stage 1 and poetry and story making through poetry, which is a really amazing project. And more recently Dramatic Maths, where we teach maths through the medium of theatre.

I think what's interesting about all of those is that it's where you place the creative within the learning. We use drama to teach maths, the young people remember equation and measurement and so on because we haven't sat down and said, here is...and what is a centimetre, what is a metre, whatever it might be, we've used story and the experience of play for them to come to terms and understand the concepts of what it is that maths becomes; and therefore we know that they remember it. They see it as not something I can't do, they see it as a journey of exploration that they can do, and as a result they feel more competence in the work that they then do in their classrooms.

The same with Transitions, if you can understand and unpack what it might be to move from Year 6 to Year 7, when you come to Year 7 you're then able to arrive at that point on an equal playing field, you're aware of the anxieties, you've unpacked the myth of the anxieties, you've got the strategies to deal with the difficulty so that you can get on with your learning rather than dealing with not achieving and not understanding in a way; so I think that's really important.

And the one thing I haven't really talked about at all yet is Careers in Theatre, which has been going since...well, in fact 1997, when I first came. Careers in Theatre is our major signature project with secondary, I think almost every Year 10 in this borough over the last 19 years, and teachers, doing drama has been to the Half Moon to create a play in a day. It's significant because we place professionals that are designers and theatre makers and music makers, with those young people, and we allow them through using a very simple script [inaudible 1:19:52] a play that's in commission, maybe developing, as the beginning of a journey over that day where they make choices in every way, they make the music, they stage manage it, they do the lighting, then they do the sound, they act in it, they decide how they're going to present that extract, they could

present it truthfully, they could [inaudible 1:20:11] all the words on the page, they could unpack it and make into something completely different, something abstract, something whatever it might be, they design it; they go through the whole process of making a play in one day.

1300 [1:20:21]

Not only is it about teamwork and it's all about collaboration and all those things which are key to theatre making, it also shows them there is a career in theatre for you ordinary people of...in your schools, because actually it's not just about acting, it's about all those art forms and all those ways of thinking.

And it's had a huge impact upon the diversification of the workforce in our own organisation but I hope in the way in which young people engage with theatre making in a different way. Because you're giving them the whole thing, you're giving them...you say at the beginning of the day, here's a script, end of the day you're going to make a play; and they go, I can't do that; but at the end of the day 50, 60 young people mixing across different schools, meeting people they've never worked with before, they create that play. And we do five, six, seven, well, in the heyday we used to do ten to 12 days in a row, it must have been absolutely killing but really powerful.

So participation is key to this company, and it should be and has been probably over the years, but it is really important because without it there's no future.

Half Moon's relationship with buildings over the years has been tricky as well as exciting, even dynamic; can you tell us about the importance of 43 White Horse Road in your tenure as director?

Yeah. So the company moved into this building, White Horse Road, in 1994, so it wasn't long before I came. The journey of getting to White Horse Road is complicated and I won't go into it too much because it's sort of outside of my time. But the idea was when the main company went into administration in the '90s, that there was a home for the Young People's Company, and this building was identified; it had been identified before 1990, but ultimately over a period of time between '90 and '94 the building was identified.

Money was identified too because it was when the Docklands was being built and as a result the building got money from European money to make it sort of vaguely fit for purpose. Like any building, when you move into a building people don't realise the drain on the resources that it does, I mean not just as money – although that's key – but also human resources; opening the doors every day, the toilets to be cleaned, the electricity bills need to be maintained, the lights, everything else and what have you. So moving into 43 White Horse Road was an important step because it gave identity to the company [inaudible 1:22:42], but also it

1:

CE:

was a bit of a burden between '94 and '97, mainly because they didn't know how to use it. And they didn't, in fairness, have the resource necessarily to run it properly.

So when I first came, as I said earlier, I moved everyone downstairs and we all worked on the first floor, so the doors could be opened, how can you have a building that's not open, doesn't make sense to me. We then decided that if we're a young people's theatre surely we should be open; so in '98 we got the licence to make it into a theatre; changed the configuration of the auditorium slightly, got its licence, got all the fire stuff sorted out. And we started with, started a play of seasons so people felt that they could come and visit; it was going back to what the Half Moon had been in the '70s and '80s, a place where people came to watch plays, not just our own plays – although many were – but a whole series of plays from other companies, other really interesting companies.

[Inaudible 1:23:50] did their first installations here, and they're now famous for that. [Inaudible 1:23:54] did their first work here, and they're now famous for that. Not only important for the audiences, communities that come on a Saturday, on a Thursday evening, whatever it might be, to watch plays, but also for artists, artists outside of our genre, out, in the genre itself to recognise that here is a space that we can use. And that was my main aim in the early days of the late '90s, to make it a public venue, but also a space where people, artists and audiences, would recognise there's some quality work going on, and place to come and watch and engage. I mean admittedly sometimes some of the work wasn't great, but it wasn't ours so that was okay that it wasn't so great.

But over time people want to come here now, mainly for two reasons: one is because it's a small intimate 80- to 100-seater space, but also because of the diversity of the audience. I mean I get people still saying to me, I'm amazed at the diversity of your audience; and I say to them, why are you amazed, because if it wasn't diverse, i.e. it doesn't reflect the communities in which we sit, we wouldn't be doing the job we should be doing. Unfortunately many times when you go to the theatre, even young people's theatre now, often to do with price, because socioeconomically you're defranchised [sic] because the tickets are too expensive, but also because people feel theatre is not for me, is that many ordinary people who would see coming to the theatre as a bit of an experience, don't come, and as a result we're playing to ourselves, or playing to people that look a little bit like me more than anything else. And that is not helping in terms of the long term, I suppose.

[1:25:30]

But the building, yes, so it became clear with the building that it really wasn't that fit for purpose, it's all very well having hundreds of people coming in and watching plays, but actually it was ramshackle and the foyer was tiny, and the world was changing out there. Other venues started to be programming young people's work, so it wasn't quite so sexy

to come here, if you like, because others were starting to do it. So we needed to think about what can we do to make the space more fit for purpose, make it more accessible and so on.

And at the same time, although the company had had a peppercorn rent on the building for many years since moving in in '94, the politics were changing, the world was changing, and we were told that we needed to pay more for our rent; so our rent went from £300 to £60,000. Admittedly we negotiated it down in the instance, but it became very clear to me and the trustees that, well, what, it doesn't make sense, why would we want to pay all this money, why don't we buy the building, why don't we own the building so it has a legacy for ever. So we started the long journey of buying the building from the local authority, which we did after about four years of...negotiation, but also the local authority were very positive about us doing that, they felt that we had a role and a function in the community to fulfil. I think probably they didn't really want the building any more because it was falling down, it was starting to fall down and they would have to start paying to make it fit for purpose, I suppose. That's a bit cynical.

But also they started to value that, and I remember going to the final council meeting where I had to stand up in front of the councillors and explain to them why they should sell it to us at its market value, for what it was worth, which was quite a lot of money, rather than, I don't know, maybe put it out and sell it to commercial house development or whatever, whatever it might be.

And although to this day it was probably the hardest thing I'd ever done in my life, because I had literally five minutes to justify why we should in fact in the long term survive, because without the building we wouldn't have survived, it was...it's all [inaudible 1:27:47] the building was our focus, it was that the community knew we were there. Eventually I must have said the right things and they said, okay, you can have it. And then we bought it through raising quite a lot of money.

And of course once you've bought it you're then able to access money; so this is when the Heritage Lottery – that's right – the Lottery, arts Lottery really started kicking in, and so as a result there was pots of that we could go to. So over a period of time we then started to raise the money to do the renovation which we needed to as well. It's all very well having the building, which we...and we needed to make it fit for purpose, change the configuration of the interior, clean up and replace much of the outside, and so on and what you got. So it was at that point that we...or that point my colleague Jackie Ely arrived too, that she was a fundraiser. And together, and I have to say mainly through her, we've raised that money, which was a lot. I think it's 1.3 million.

1442 I: Wow.

CE:

Which you think, when you think about what that means. Okay, a lot of it came from the lottery, but a lot of it came from £20,000 here, £20,000 there. And I feel to this day a little bit...I'm glad we got the money and I'm not ungrateful to the Arts Council for giving it to us, but unlike maybe some of our bigger friends on the South Bank we had to raise our matched funding first, and then they gave us the lump sum that came with it. Whereas it seems sometimes the bigger companies could get their lump sum first and then raise the matched funding to go with it. So yeah, so we then started the renovation and we employed an architectural company called Roderick McLennan Associates, who were sympathetic to the heritage of the building and created the plans that created the foyers and the space that we have today.

[1:29:58]

 And the most important thing was that we put the sign on the roof. I suppose we sort of reclaimed the name The Half Moon Theatre; because actually it was such a long time ago since the Mile End Road closed that actually the majority of the people didn't even know what that was, but also it reclaimed the name because actually it's made a statement, as the communities say, we are here, the theatre is important. It touched the heritage of the Half Moon and all that went with it, but also it reconnected with the past, why in the '70s the artists created the theatre they did; it was for the people, about the people, communicated the people working with local artists to create the work they did; and it's almost as if we've sort of come slightly full circle by reclaiming that name.

1:

CE:

Why do you think the Half Moon continues to thrive? Especially given the socioeconomic context in which it operates?

 Well, I think it's because we survive because we are a business. We're not for profit, of course, I'm not here to make money, but I am here to use public money and trust money, and all the money that we raise through box office and donations and so on, to give back to the stakeholders that ultimately are the ones that through their taxes, in the case of our Arts Council grant or the local authority grant, have paid, pay us really. So it's important that we do that.

I think it's because we have over the years shifted and responded to the agendas of the time. It's tuning in to the priorities which equally connect with what we're about, about social inclusion, about entitlement of course, and finding a way to tap into not just the financial resources but also the human resource and aspiration and ambitions of the communities we work with; I think that's why. I think it's because I have over the years had and continue to have, even today particularly, particularly today, a very strong team who are way over-dedicated for what they do, and commit to the very ethos that I believe in and drive, and the trustees that follow that as well of course.

But, yeah, I think also it's to do with the fact that young people are important, and I think if we articulate that clearly enough to the people in power, the funders and so on, that actually we will continue hopefully to survive, you can't guarantee it. Because actually what we do is represent a sort of underclass, young people can't vote, young people don't pay taxes, young people aren't consulted on particularly well, they are the hidden percentage of the community that aren't necessarily engaged with from the people in power. And we articulate and owe it to our young people to make sure that we as adults are able to say, what about the children, what about the young people, what about them in the equation; because at the end of the day they represent a massive percentage of the population, and yet... And they are our future, they're not what people say, artists of the future, they are artists now, but they are our future, they are going to be the ones who will look after us in years to come, and we do owe it to them; and I think we survive because we articulate that again, and again, and again, young people are important, young people are important, young people are important. We help them have a voice, we help translate their voices to the communities that make a difference to us as a whole. So yeah, I think probably that's why, and we adapt.

1:

CE:

You mentioned Half Moon Presents that was established in 2013; can you tell us about this is shaping the artistic and management choices being made?

Yeah. Half Moon Presents really is part of the cycle of change because in 2010 the government changed inasmuch as the government changed in '79, and then in '97, in 2010 it changed again. And the language of the world in which we live is much more about collaboration, about working in a way, a fiscal way, that means to say that we have to be seen as a thriving business; it doesn't mean to say you don't engage with the values of your charity and the ethos of the continuous, that's a given; but you have to look more entrepreneurially about how you're going to survive and how you're going to be more resilient as a company, that's key.

So Half Moon Presents comes out of Exchange for Change actually, we're working with all these artists doing really interesting things, poets, MCs, whatever it might be; what happens to them; so we spend all this time working away, beavering away with them, and then what's the point of then saying, off you go and do this performance at somewhere else; what Half Moon Presents offers is the structure which allows them to thrive once you've done that investment, all that interrogation, all that development, all that dialogue; it allows you to benefit from it, not only because it allows you to keep changing your practice offering your audiences different types of work, different new plays and so on, but it allows you to maximise the potential of it.

[1:35:43]

So Half Moon Presents, through the shows that we have done, have come out of those collaborations, artists have gone away and come back

as their own companies and said, I'd like to work with you as a company now on exploring this or that, or whatever it might be; it keeps the company, our work fresh, keeps the company fresh; it means our reach has increased, so therefore we can tour; we tour six or seven shows a year, eight shows a year now, and that means you can really touch communities and locations and venues that it would be impossible if it was just us touring one show a year, or two shows a year, it would be impossible.

But also, like through the Papertale, Rosie Harris and poetry trilogy, for example, there's no way that we would have been able to instigate that trilogy about migration leading to the piece – which I can't remember the name of at the moment – Map of Me, sorry, I'm sorry, my mind went blank; you could never have got to a piece like Map of Me, which is about asylum seekers' experience of coming to the UK, without the hard work of those poets, that poetry company, who are part of our portfolio, part of Half Moon Presents, and are now working looking at emotional literacy of boys for secondary schools and how the exploration through poetry, of theatre [inaudible 1:37:09] to create Boys Don't Cry, for example. We'd never have had that diversity of work.

And an amazing piece called How High, which was about the last troll and...troll baby, and how they were misunderstood and it's all about community and who they are.

I mean plays like Guantanamo Boy, with our friends Brolly, or Her, that we're working with them now, which is about sexual violence against women in war-torn environments. The breadth and diversity of it is key. But also that we give them the structures to experiment, it would give them structures to safely; so I could be working with them dramaturgically, I might direct the piece, we might just simply give them their tech specs and help them tour. What it is it's just giving a framework within which to thrive themselves, and if they're thriving we're thriving as a business, and that's why Exchange for Change is really...Half Moon Presents, which comes out of Exchange for Change, is important.

It also allows us to do collaboration, our co-productions, our recent work with Tangled Feet, a most extraordinary company, never worked with young people before; I mean they have because their audiences are full of young people, but they hadn't thought about that way, need a little help about the young carer experience, a most exquisite piece of work; they were generous enough to come to us and say, we want to learn from your company; and I was saying, come, we want to learn from your company to create the synergy between what is an outdoor physical-style company, I guess, working with a specialist young people's company. We worked with Paines Plough, we commissioned and created a Dennis Kelly play called, Our Teacher's a Troll, which we worked with them on in the last couple of years. It allows us to change who we are, to respond to and be part of the bigger world; because young people's work is slightly marginalised and we need to be on the top table with the big guys. And

they need to recognise, and the partners we have do, that we do have an expertise and an understanding of the genre, which is based on years and years of work, my colleagues before even I was at the Half Moon, about that methodology of working with young people to create work for young people and the families and communities; they have a lot to learn from that process. And we equally have a lot to learn from them too.

Has anything in the past 19 years surprised you in any way?

[1:39:46]

1:

**CE**:

Well, apart from – 19 years is a long time, isn't it – and what surprises me is I'm still here, I guess, and that's because I've worked with some brilliant people, and every time I think maybe it's time for someone new something new happens, we work in a different way, we really get into teenage work, then we get into bilingual work, and then we do Exchange for Change, and then we do the building, and then we do... It surprises me but excites me that I haven't...I feel in the last 19 years there's enough going on to keep me and my colleagues excited about moving forward, that surprises me, particularly in the fiscal and political world in which we operate; it's very easy to become cynical and difficult very quickly. That has surprised me, I guess.

The recent heritage project, which this is, has surprised me because – in two ways – people actually don't even know we're here, and that's surprised me because I thought the people did know we were here; but at the same time it's surprised me how people do know we're here, and speak very eloquently about the function and the role and the impact that it's had upon it. People don't know we're here, it's not because...they're just they're not in our genre, our world. And I suppose what's surprised me is that it hasn't changed a lot, the young people's world is still a bit of a Cinderella.

We treat spaces like this, places where people go regularly because it's where you go, it's your community, it's something you want to go with. We beaver away doing our stuff but we get...the world forgets that it's not just about the treat spaces, that the Matilda's and all the great children's shows that are on in the West End, they're fantastic, when the reps do it, the Young Vic does it, whatever it might be, they do these one-off shows with young people, and they're brilliant; but it surprises me that there isn't, apart from a handful of companies that we've worked with over the years, that there isn't that recognition and mutual respect in a way, that that surprises me. I think that disappoints me a bit too, if I'm honest, but there we are.

1:

What was the impact of the work upon the audiences who saw or experienced the plays from your point of view?

1641 CE: 

CE:

I think I've talked about that, if I'm honest. I don't know why that question is still there, I think you must have left it in by mistake. I think I've talked about that, so I don't want to talk about that any more.

I: Are there any other memories of your time, or people you worked with at Half Moon you would like to tell us about?

Well, 19 years is a long time, so I feel that this will be lodged in the archive and then in ten years time someone will go, he never talked about me and I worked with him. But there are so many people, I've mentioned some of them, I can't remember everyone.

Memories of my time? It is the communities that come in through the door, and the people that I meet in the street, and I don't know who the hell they are, and they know who I am and they know what we do; and that's really important. And that sounds terrible, that I don't know who they are, but they know who we are; that's a really important memory of my time here; I'm still here, I'm not going yet.

When you meet someone who came here as a child, and happened to do work experience, 14, 13 years later and then come back with their child themselves, saying, do you remember me, I came to see a play; those people are really important; they're anonymous people, they're the people that are the legacy of companies. Because what has surprised me over the years, and it's something that I've learned very, very much to embrace, is that many people work in the arts, it's all about the I, the ego, and I guess in a way you have to be slightly driven and you have to be really clear about what you want to achieve. But the best work, I believe, are with people, be they [inaudible 1:43:52], be they designers, whoever they might be, who put the ego to one side, that actually in the room you wouldn't think they were there, because they empower the communities, the audiences to engage in a way in which is really, really important. That surprised me that [inaudible 1:44:12] is still full of people full of ego who talk about I, I, I, and move on, they don't care about the legacy of their companies, I would say.

Yeah, and all the people that I've worked with over the years, it's really, I mean I don't know. I'm still here, so I'm not going, so...

1:

CE:

Are there any other things you want to talk about, such as the support from local and national funders?

Yeah, I mean, yeah, they're important because actually they have been really supportive of the work we do. We navigate them very well, and at times find them tricky and sometimes bewildering, and sometimes not quite sure what they're talking about.

[1:44:58]

But we've had over the years a number of people, the Arts Council and the local authority have really understood what we are doing and really championed what we have done and are continuing to do, they really understand the value of work, the gateway, the beginning spaces of places like this, and have been very respectful of the work we've done. And they've been very respectful of the experimentation, and sometimes you don't always get it right; and that's I think is important. And yeah, over the years we've had increases in our funding, we've bucked the trend sometimes. And yeah, I remember in 1998 the woman called Hannah -Hannah someone, can't remember her name now – who was an Arts Council officer, and she said...and she just said, what you're doing is absolutely what companies should be doing. And I've always hung on to that. Her name wasn't Hannah, her name was Helen, Helen someone, I can't remember her name now, I haven't thought about her for years. And she said, hang on to what you're doing because if companies like yours don't, in 20 years time no one will be; and here we are 18 years later, it was 1998, and we are still doing it and we are still here. And I think that's important, but it's not easy. It's not easy.

Finally, can you describe what impact your time at Half Moon has had for you?

1712 CE:

1:

Well, I think – the impact – well, yeah, I think I have learned, and I touched it just now really, the importance of where you place the I in the equation of making work. You need to inspire and engage anyone, people, artists, communities, in a way that they feel that they are able to make a difference, be it in the nature of the style of the work they'd make, or be it the way in which they can be inspired by watching a play and going out and thinking differently about the world in which they're part.

And time and time again I have had to remind myself of that, not because we weren't doing it, but remind myself that that is really important, that's a big impact upon me really; and the impact is a way, that hopefully the way that you engage and manage people and deal with people as well. That actually I always say that if anything goes wrong it's always my responsibility, always. But when things are really successful, that you need to make sure that other people take the credit for that work, and you sit back and you think, well, that's fine because actually I'm providing, overall I guess, the structure that allows people to shine and develop and be, and so on; and that has had a big impact upon me, to remind myself again and again and again that that is something to really hang on to; it's a bit old-fashioned but really, really, really hang on to really.

And I guess I ought to be able to remember those small things as they move up on the ladder, and this heritage project has brought in voices that have really talked a lot about the impact that they've...that's had upon them, in my time, and therefore that has had an impact upon me to say [inaudible 1:48:39] it's worth it. Because it's not easy. And it shouldn't be easy, I mean if it was easy therefore we weren't doing the right job, but you do have to give so much, and that's the impact it's had upon me

really; and that's fine, I don't mind, I might have a lot more grey hair than I did when I first came here, and that's fair enough. But the impact is that it's permeated my life, how can it not, because I've cared about it, I suppose. Yeah. And the people that I've worked with, I mean they're...that's...and the audiences that come, and that's really important. I mean, you know, as it's really...you know, and you do a youth sharing, when you do stand up at the end — and I said this before — when you stand up at the end and you thank the parents and the carers for coming, and you thank your teams, you know, that's really important to remember to do, because what they've done has had an impact upon me because it means that actually it's been worth it really.

And, yeah, I mean I think also everyone is important [inaudible 1:49:50] is important. I think the impact is also that art forms change and you need to go...the impact is I need to change, i.e. you can't sit still, and that's a really important thing to learn, and you need to know when your time is up, you need to know when it's time to let other people make the decisions, so you need to know how to do that; and that's a big impact upon me.

[1:50:15]

But I think also it's about the...that you've realised it's about being yourself, and being nice to everyone. Always be nice to people. The impact, I've worked with some great people, and I've learned huge amounts from people. I remember when I didn't work here, I worked for another organisation, and my boss, I worked as a head of department, head of education for a national flagship; she said to me, don't ever cross me, don't ever do anything that I don't...don't do things, she said, that I don't want you to do. And actually that isn't how you manage a company, you let people do the things that surprise you and engage with you in different ways, because actually I'm not... I don't know; and some of the best work has been work that I would never have dreamed that we would have done; and I have learned from that and I have changed as an artist or as a maker or as a manager, a manager, whatever you call it, as a result of that; and again that would be a big impact upon me as well. Yeah, and you give, you know, you give 19 years of your life, and that's a big thing.

## **End of transcript**